

OFFICE OF
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

CASF 6.5.1 V1

Date MARCH 18, 1997 RECEIVED

MAR 20 1997

To SPOKANE COUNTY,

Environmental Cleanup Office

Make check payable to County Utilities and mail to:

FUND 401

FUND 403

Spokane County Utilities
1026 W. Broadway Avenue
Spokane, WA 99260-0430

FUND 404 Landfill Closure Fund

PAYMENT NUMBER 1 -
COMPLETION OF PHASES I, II, III AND IV
COLBERT LANDFILL

CERCLIS ID WAD980514541

COSTS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. LABOR	\$ 436,059
2. TRAVEL	6,916
3. EQUIPMENT	115,652
4. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES	89,947
5. CONTRACTS	12,162,887
6. OTHER DIRECT COSTS	621,900
7. INDIRECT COSTS	106,947

TOTAL COSTS \$13,540,308

EPA SHARE @ 11.5% \$ 1,557,135

LIMIT = \$ 1,400,000

USEPA SF



1414401

Date Paid _____

Utilities Receipt No. _____ Treasurer's Receipt No. _____

No. 158

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Neil Thompson Letter	1
Claim for CERCLA Response Action	3
Non Settling PRP's - Item II-A of CERCLA	5
Responses by PRP's - Item II B of CERCLA	6
Summary of Phase I,II,III & IV Cost - Mar 18, 97 Narrative	9
Summary of Phase I,II & IV Cost - March 1, 96 Narrative	10
Summary of Costs - Costs thru 95 and 96 Costs	14
Summary of Costs - Contract and Miscellaneous Costs	15
Contractor Summary Sheets - Landau Associates, Humphrey Construction, STACO Well Service, Ershigs Inc, Delhur Industries, CH2M Hill, Taylor Engineering	16
Summary of Costs	
Summary of All Contract Costs	23
Total of 1995-1996 Costs	24
Total Delhur Contract Costs	25
Total CH2M Hill Contract Costs	26
Total Taylor Contract Costs	27
Miscellaneous Spokane County Costs - Summary	28
Miscellaneous Spokane County Costs - No. 3	29

S P O K A N E C O U N T Y



UTILITIES DIVISION
N. Bruce Rawls, P.E., Utilities Director

A DIVISION OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
Dennis M. Scott, P.E., Director

March 19, 1997

Seth Bruckner
Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (5203 G)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
401 "M" Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

Dear Mr. Bruckner,

Enclosed is one copy of a Claim for CERCLA Response Action for payment 1 by the Environmental Protection Agency to the Spokane County Utilities Department for the Colbert Landfill. This is for the EPA 10% share of costs incurred by Spokane County at the site. This is a revision of the submittal by Spokane County last March, 1996. We also have sent one copy to Neil Thompson at the Region 10 Seattle Office, and one copy to Allen Orand of the Office of Inspector General of the EPA, San Francisco Office.

We are only sending contract copies for the 1996 contracts for Delhur Industries and CH&M Hill and Taylor Engineering to Mr. Orand in San Francisco. If you find you need them, please call Gary Molsness at (509) 324-3275 and he will send you copies of them.

Please call Bill Wedlake, the Spokane County's Project Manager, at (509) 456-3604 with any questions you may have.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Bill Wedlake", is written over the typed name.

Bill Wedlake, Project Manager
Spokane County Utilities

cc: Neil Thompson, Region 10 ✓
Allen Orand, EPA San Francisco

S P O K A N E



C O U N T Y

UTILITIES DIVISION
N. Bruce Rawls, P.E., Utilities Director

A DIVISION OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
Dennis M. Scott, P.E., Director

March 18, 1997

Mr. Neil Thompson
EPA Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Enclosed is one copy of a claim of a Claim for CERCLA Response Action for Payment Number 1 by the Environmental Protection Agency to Spokane County per Table 4 of the Consent Decree (C-89-033-RJM.) Phases I, II, III and IV at the Colbert Landfill Superfund Site #01 have all been completed. The Phase I Engineering Report has been submitted to EPA December 30, 1991. We enclosed the executive summary of this report in our previous submittal last March 1, 1996. The total Phase I, II, III and IV costs to Spokane County are \$13,540,308. These form the basis of our claim for \$1,400,000. The CERCLIS site I.D. Number is WAD980514541.

Also enclosed is summary information of total costs related to all four Phases of the Colbert Project, by either contractor or vendor. These costs are eligible for federal reimbursement. Eligibility can be determined by inspecting the lists of costs of vendors and contractors and checking these against federal guidelines, which we have done. We checked against OMB Circular A-87.

Phase I was the design of the Colbert plant, and Phase II was the final design and construction of the plant, which was completed about June of 1995. Phase III was the construction of a cap at the Colbert site, which was just completed in the fall of 1996. Phase IV is the testing and operation of the plant, which has completed testing and been operational since July of 1995.

The costs summaries included in this submittal are only the new costs since January 1st of 1996. These costs are mainly the cost of the cap at Colbert and some operational costs. The March 1st, 1996 submission include all other costs incurred up to January 1st, 1996. Also, only new contracts for the cap design and construction are included here. All other contracts were submitted on March 1, 1996. The accounting procedures, and purchasing methods likewise were submitted previously in March 1, 1996. These have not changed.

- 1) There are no allocations, all costs are direct charges to the Colbert Project.
- 2) A summary schedule of costs is provided. OMB Circular A-87 defines eligible costs for state and local governments.
- 3) We are submitting copies of the cap design contracts for CH2MHill and Taylor Engineering, and a copy of the cap construction contract with Delhur Industries.

This billing invoice is the last and now only claim for the Colbert Project. We are not submitting duplicate information which we submitted last March 1, 1996, only new information.

Please make your check payable to:

Spokane County Utilities
1026 W Broadway
Spokane, WA 99260-0170

Please call Gary Molsness at (509) 324-3275 if you have any questions regarding this billing. Thank you for your help.

Sincerely,



Bill Wedlake,
Project Manager

cc: Seth Bruckner, EPA, Washington D.C.
Phillip Weihrouch, and Allen Orand, EPA, San Francisco, CA



United States Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC 20460

Claim for CERCLA Response Action

Form Approved. OMB No. 2050-0106
Expiration Date 12-31-94

General Instructions: Complete all items in ink or by typewriter. If an item is not applicable to your claim, write "N/A" in the appropriate space. Attach typewritten sheets for additional information. Specific instructions are presented on page 3 of this form.

I. Introductory Material

A. Name, Title and Address of Claimant(s):

SPOKANE COUNTY
W. 1026 BROADWAY
SPOKANE, WA 99260-0170

B. Name of Site:

C. Preauthorization Decision Document (PDD):

Number C-89-033-RJM
Date 2-28-89
(attach copy) ATTACHMENT 1

D. Name, Title and Address of Agent (if any) Authorized to Represent the Claimant:

WILLIAM WEDLAKE
PROJECT MANAGER W. 1026 BROADWAY SPOKANE, WA 99260-0170

II. Relates to Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs)

A. Has the claimant made a reasonable effort to identify any PRPs (other than any who may be parties to this claim)? Describe those efforts.

SEE ATTACHMENT II_A

B. Has the claimant presented a request for reimbursement to known PRPs (other than any who may be parties to the claim)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Attach names, addresses and dates of presentation. Describe any responses.

C. If a partial settlement was reached with PRPs after presentation of the claim as described in II.B., did EPA approve any release?

☒ Yes ☐ No If no, explain.

D. Is there any action pending in court regarding this site or response actions?

☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, explain.

III. Relates to Claims for a Preauthorized Phase

A. Is this a claim for a preauthorized phase? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, provide the completion date of the subject response action and skip B, C, D and E.

B. How many claims are authorized in the PDD?

3 CLAIMS

C. For which preauthorized phase are you filing a claim at this time?

PHASE I, II, III AND IV ALL PHASES

D. Is completion of the next preauthorized phase on schedule?

☐ Yes ☐ No If no, explain.

E. Estimated date for submitting claim for the next preauthorized phase.

NONE THIS WILL BE THE ONLY CLAIM

IV. Relates to Response Action

A. Was the response/preauthorized phase completed in accordance with the PDD? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, skip B

B. Was a modification to the preauthorization request submitted to and approved by EPA?

☐ Yes -- Supply number and date

☐ No -- Explain how and why response differs from PDD.

C. Was the response completed in accordance with the Statement of Work and the Work Plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, skip D

D. Explain how and why the response differs from the Statement of Work and/or the Work Plan.

E. Address how each of the PDD terms and conditions were met (in the order that they appear in the PDD).
Provide documentation of such adherence in an appendix.

SEE ATTACHED CLAIM & prior claim of march 1, 1996

F. Provide the name and address of the location where all cost documentation and any other records relating to the claim will be maintained.

SPOKANE COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS W. 1026 BROADWAY SPOKANE, WA

V. Relates to Amount of Response Claim

A. Provide the following summary information:

Re Current Claim Submission.

Type of Response Activity(ies) Represented by Claim

PHASE I, II, III & IV

Total Eligible Response Costs Represented by Claim

\$ 13,540,308

Percentage of Claimed Costs to Total

11.5%

Response Costs

Dollar Amount of Reimbursement Claimed

\$ 1,557,135

Re Any Past Claim Awards Under the Subject PDD

Number of Previous Claims

\$

Total Sum of Previous Awards

\$

Re PDD:

Reimbursement Cap Set For All Claim Submissions

\$ 1,400,000

B. Provide the following breakdown of the eligible response costs asserted in this claim submission

Labor	\$ 436,059
Travel	\$ 6,916
Equipment	\$ 115,652
Materials and Supplies	\$ 89,947
Contractual Services	\$ 12,162,887
Other Direct Costs	\$ 621,900
Indirect Costs	\$ 106,947

TOTAL RESPONSE COSTS

\$ 13,540,308

With the exception of contractual services, provide detailed summaries of the components of each of the above cost categories. Address how the costs incurred were required under the PDD and reasonable, allowable and allocable according to Federal cost principles. Specify which of the Federal cost principles were used and explain the basis for that selection.

C. Provide a cost breakdown of all contractual services performed for this claim submission. Explain how the incurred costs were required under the PDD and reasonable, allowable and allocable according to Federal cost principles. Specify which of the Federal cost principles were used and explain the basis for that selection.

SEE SUMMARY OF COSTS AND SPREADSHEETS

Certification

I certify that all information herein is true to the best of my knowledge. I agree to supply additional information, as requested, in support of this application and access to the site for purpose of inspection.

Signature of Claimant

Bill Weller

Date

3-17-97

CERCLA Penalty for Presenting Fraudulent Claim

Any person who knowingly gives or causes to be given false information as a part of a claim against the Hazardous Substance Superfund may, upon conviction, be fined in accordance with the applicable provisions of Title 18 of the United States Code or imprisoned for not more than 3 years (or not more than 5 years in the case of a second or subsequent conviction), or both. (42 USC 9612 (b)(1))

Civil Penalty for Presenting Fraudulent Claim

The claimant is liable to the United States for a civil penalty of \$2,000 and an amount equal to two times the amount of damages sustained by the Government because of the acts of that person, including costs of the civil action.

Criminal Penalty for Presenting Fraudulent Claim or Making False Statements

The claimant will be charged a maximum fine of not more than \$10,000 or be imprisoned for a maximum of 5 years, or both. (See 62 Stat. 696, 749; 18 USC 267 1001)

SPOKANE COUNTY COLBERT LANDFILL SITE ATTACHMENT II-A
NON-SETTLING POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES (PRPS)
FOR 2-28-89 THRU 12-31-96
ADDITION TO SECTION II-A OF THE CLAIM FORM

Keytronic Corporation, the U.S. Air Force and Alumax Corporation were identified as the only potentially responsible parties at the Colbert Site. Keytronic Corporation paid \$4,200,000.00 and the U.S. Air Force paid \$1,450,000.00 to the Colbert Landfill Trust. The EPA was going to pursue settlement with Alumax Corporation. An effort was made to identify other PRP'S at the time these were identified, but no other PRPS have been identified since then. Since no other PRPS have been identified, there are no non-settling PRPS. This information is from page 10 of the Request for Preauthorization. See attached.

remediation, the selected design consultant will be required to have a demonstrated knowledge and understanding of CERCLA, and will be expected to facilitate remedial activities in accordance with CERCLA requirements.

CONSENT DECREE AND NATURE OF SETTLEMENT

An EPA PRP study resulted in notice letters being sent to 12 parties. Four of these parties were ultimately identified as PRPs. These include: the County, Key Tronic, the United States Department of Defense (the Air Force), and Alumax. A consent decree has been agreed to in principle between the Governments (EPA and Ecology), the County, and Key Tronic in July 1988. The Air Force has also settled with the Governments, the terms of which are embodied within a separate Consent Decree. Alumax has not agreed to execute the Consent Decree.

Key Tronic and the County have proposed a settlement in which the County will perform the remedy selected by EPA, as specified in the Scope of Work, and Key Tronic will pay the amount of \$4,200,000 into a trust fund for remediation of the Colbert Landfill site (Trust Fund). Key Tronic's payments will be made under the schedule contained in Section VIII of the Consent Decree. The Air Force has agreed to pay \$1,450,000 toward the remedial action. The County will contribute the remainder of the monies required to accomplish the remedial action (including EPA mixed funding, and State mixed funding and grants specified within the scope of work).

SPOKANE COUNTY COLBERT LANDFILL SITE
RESPONSES BY POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES
FOR COLBERT LANDFILL SITE
FOR 2-28-89 THRU 12-31-96

ATTACHMENT II-B

PAYMENTS TO COLBERT LANDFILL TRUST ACCOUNT

PRP #1
KEYTRONIC CORPORATION

DATES	AMOUNTS
3-14-89	\$650,000.00
10-2-89	\$650,000.00
10-1-90	\$950,000.00
10-1-91	\$950,000.00
9-29-92	<u>\$1,000,000.00</u>
TOTAL KEYTRONIC PAYMENTS	<u>\$4,200,000.00</u>

PRP #2
US AIR FORCE

DATE	AMOUNT
3-12-90	<u>\$1,450,000.00</u>
TOTAL AIR FORCE PAYMENT	<u>\$1,450,000.00</u>

SPOKANE COUNTY COLBERT LANDFILL SITE
ACCOUNTING OF MONIES
STATE, FEDERAL AND COLBERT LANDFILL TRUST
AS OF 12-31-96

ATTACHMENT IIB
CONTINUED

MONIES RECEIVED:	AMOUNT
STATE OF WASHINGTON CONTRACT TAX 90057	\$3,967,213.24
COLBERT LANDFILL TRUST	<u>3,656,944.38</u>
SUBTOTAL MONIES RECEIVED	\$7,624,157.62
 MONIES ANTICIPATED RECEIVING:	
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT	\$1,400,000.00
COLBERT LANDFILL TRUST	<u>\$4,430,000.00</u>
SUBTOTAL RECEIVABLE	<u>\$5,830,000.00</u>
 GRAND TOTAL	 <u>\$13,454,157.62</u>

SPOKANE COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS
 LANDFILL INSURANCE SETTLEMENTS
 FUND 404
 AS OF 12-31-96

DATE	COMPANY	COLBERT
1991	PACIFIC INDEMNITY	201,670.13
1992	YOSEMITE	30,000.00
1993	COVENANT MUTUAL	
1993	AMERICAN RE-INSURANCE	
1993	COVENANT MUTUAL -	
	1ST STATE	
1993	TRANSCONTINENTAL -	
	1ST STATE	
1993	AMERICAN RE-INSURANCE	
	1ST STATE	
1994	STATUTORY LIQUIDATOR	
1995	CNA	858,371.97
1995	INTERSTATE INSURANCE	1,409,139.41
1995	USF & G	1,232,488.62
1995	INS CO STATE OF PENNS	
1995	ITT HARTFORD TWIN CITY	2,250,000.00
1995	COMPASS	
1996	STATUTORY LIQUIDATOR	
	TOTALS	5,981,670.13

SUMMARY OF COSTS
NARRATIVE
SPOKANE COUNTY COLBERT LANDFILL
March 18, 1997

There were three phases plus a closeout cap phase for the Colbert Landfill.

Phase I Design of the Colbert Plant
Phase II Final design and construction of the plant
Phase III Construction of a cap at the old landfill site
Phase IV Testing and operation of the plant.

Phase III is the part which was completed during 1996. The other phases were completed by July 1995.
The plant has been in continuous operation since July 1995.

Cost summary:

Contractor costs	\$12,162,887	89.83%
Miscellaneous	1,377,421	10.17%
Total	\$13,540,308	100.00%

Contractor summary:	Amount	Percent	Detail of Costs and Contracts
Landau Phase I	4,546,071	33.57%	March 1, 1996 Billing
Landau Phase II	1,337,312	9.87%	March 1, 1996 Billing
STACO Drilling	394,514	2.92%	March 1, 1996 Billing
Humphrey Const	2,137,351	15.78%	March 1, 1996 Billing
Ershigs Const	374,506	2.78%	March 1, 1996 Billing
Landau Phase IV	216,195	1.60%	March 1, 1996 Billing
Delhur Construct	2,727,756	20.15%	March 18, 1997 Billing
CH2MHill Engin	353,248	2.60%	March 18, 1997 Billing
Taylor Engineers	75,934	.56%	March 18, 1997 Billing
Totals	12,162,887	89.83%	

Miscellaneous summary:	Amount	Percent	Detail of Costs and Contracts
Miscell 2-89/9-94	814,716	6.02%	March 1, 1996 Billing
Miscell 10-94/12-95	401,232	2.96%	March 1, 1996 Billing
Miscell 1-96/12-96	161,473	1.19%	March 18, 1997 Billing
Totals	1,377,421	10.17%	

Miscellaneous costs are costs incurred by Spokane County Utilities personnel in support of monitoring and managing activities at the Colbert site. Spokane County has hired a hydrogeologist (Bill Wedlake) who is now project manager and two water quality specialists (Steve Anderson and Deb Geiger) to perform the monitoring of wells and water quality. Several civil engineers were already on staff, including the one who managed the construction of the project for the County. (Dean Fowler)

Landau Associates of Edmonds, Washington was the prime contractor for the project.
STACO Drilling of Albany, Oregon drilled the test wells, barrier wells and monitoring wells.
Humphrey Construction built the main treatment building and inflow lines at Colbert.
Ershigs Construction provided the evaporation tower at Colbert, next to the treatment building.
Delhur Construction built the cap of the old landfill, which was just completed in 1996.
CH2MHill and Taylor Engineers designed the cap of the old landfill.

The narrative provided with the March 1, 1996 billing goes into greater depth on the contractors and also accounting systems used by Spokane County to account for the costs of this project. Attached is a copy of this narrative, which has not been updated, but is still correct except for total costs, which have increased for landfill cap costs, which are included with this billing.

3-1-86

SUMMARY OF COSTS
NARRATIVE
SPOKANE COUNTY COLBERT LANDFILL

There are three phases plus a closeout cap phase for the Colbert Landfill. Originally a submittal for Phase I only was submitted to the EPA. The Phase I submission has been retracted. This submittal includes three phases (I, II, and part of IV). There will be a second submittal for the closeout cap phase, Phase III, and more of Phase IV for Colbert, next year.

Each category of activity of the project to close the Colbert Landfill is authorized in the ROD to the Consent Decree. (A copy of the ROD is included in this packet. See Attachment 2). Spokane County received a grant from the State of Washington to aid in the cleanup and restoration of the land formerly known as the Colbert Landfill. This Remedial Action Grant included a state share of \$3,967,220 and a local share of \$3,967,220. The Phase I report was completed and submitted December 30, 1991. Total costs of all phases to December 31, 1995 is \$10,221,897. Approximately 60% of the costs to date were incurred by the prime contractor for the project, Landau Associates of Edmonds, Washington. These costs were all incurred after the ROD was approved, and were paid by Spokane County between February 28, 1989 and December 31, 1995.

Landau Associates was hired by the County to perform certain remedial design/remedial actions for the Colbert Landfill site, under authority of Spokane County Resolution 88-1278. A copy of this resolution is attached. Other contracts with Landau Associates are for additional amounts and are listed in Attachment 3, and copies of these contracts are also included. Landau is paid on a Cost Reimbursement basis, up to a total overall contract amount. See the contract summary page and Attachment 3.

1. Organization Structure: The attached chart (Attachment 4) shows the Project Organization and Responsibilities for all cleanup activities. Key personnel for Spokane County include Dean Fowler, who is the Project Engineer, and Bill Wedlake, who is the Project Manager. Key personnel for Landau and Associates include Lawrence Beard, Landau Project Engineer, John Markus, a Senior Engineer and Gary Andres, a Senior Staff Hydrogeologist.

2. Accounting System: Spokane County uses a computerized accounting system called LGFS, which stands for Local Government Finance System. The accounts of the County are organized by fund types and adhere to generally accepted accounting principles for local governments. The County is audited each calendar year by the State of Washington Auditor's Office. A summary of the funds and account groups in use at the County is attached. (Attachment 5). The State of Washington prescribes the accounting structure for all local government entities in the state by use of the BARS (Budgeting, Accounting and Reporting System) System.

The LGFS system uses a feature called price agreements. Price agreements were set up for each contract with Landau, Humphrey Construction, Staco Drilling and Ershigs, Inc. These price agreements control the costs which can be charged to these contracts, ensuring the contracts were not overspent. The Spokane County Purchasing Department also examined each invoice for each contract for adherence to contract terms, before sending them to the project engineer or project manager for approval and ultimate payment. All contract amendments and change orders were approved and verified by the Purchasing Department. Contract summary pages for these contracts are also included.

Costs for the project have been broken into the categories required by the EPA. About 60% of the costs (\$6,099,578) are from the Consultant, Landau Associates. Invoices from Landau are sent to the County Purchasing Department, as described above. These invoices were then sent to the Project Engineer, who inspected each for accuracy and completeness. These invoices are then passed to the fund accountant for Landfill Closure who codes them and starts processing thru the County's computerized bill payment system, EPS (Extended Purchasing System). The invoices are then given to the Accounts Payable section of the County Auditor's Office, where each invoice is checked for accuracy and completeness. After passing this final checkpoint, a Spokane County Warrant is written to the vendor, Landau Associates and mailed to them.

Just over 28% of the costs (\$2,137,351) were incurred by Humphrey Construction Company, which built the main treatment building and inflow lines at Colbert. About 4% of the costs (\$395,514) were spent by Staco Drilling, which drilled the barrier wells and test wells at Colbert. About 3% of the costs (\$374,506) went to Ershigs Construction, which provided the evaporation tower at Colbert. A copy of each of these contracts is included. Invoices from Humphrey, Staco and Ershigs were handled exactly like the Landau invoices.

The other category of cost is costs incurred by Spokane County Utilities personnel in support of monitoring and managing activities at the Colbert site. These invoices are handled different than the Landau invoices. These invoices go to the project engineer or project manager for approval, and skip the purchasing department step. The other cost involved is the payroll cost for each County Employee performing monitoring and managing activities at Colbert. See Attachment 6 for the payroll flowchart, which outlines the steps involved in the County's computerized payroll system, GHRS (Government Human Resources System). These costs are captured by use of the FMIS cost accounting system used by the County Public Works Department. This computer system interfaces with the LGFS System and provides cost information through the monthly reports generated by the LGFS System. These ledgers are grouped by fund and are coded by fund, agency, organization, activity and object codes. These ledgers are posted to once per month from information derived

from the County EPS Voucher System and also from the County Payroll System. See Attachment 7.

The accounting records for Spokane County are kept in the County Auditor's Office, which is on the second floor of the Spokane County Courthouse. The Courthouse is located at W. 1116 Broadway, Spokane, Washington 99260-0020. The contact person for the Colbert Project costs is Gary Molsness. The cost principle appropriate for Spokane County is OMB Circular A-87, which applies to state and local governments.

3. Purchasing: Purchasing at Spokane County is largely defined by laws of the State of Washington. As mentioned earlier, the EPS(Extended Purchasing System) computer system is being used for this project as well as all other county purchasing. EPS and GHRS are subsystems of the LGFS system. See Attachment 8.

In-House Services: In House Services make up only 12% of the cost of Phase I, II and IV activity at Colbert, or about (\$1,215,948). The County is now running the plant at Colbert and also monitoring wells for water quality. Thus these in house costs now include costs of operating and maintaining the plant and monitoring costs. Spokane County has hired a hydrogeologist (Bill Wedlake) who is now project manager and two water quality specialists (Steve Anderson and Deb Geiger) to perform the monitoring of wells and water quality. Several civil engineers were already on staff, including the one who is managed the construction of the project for the County. (Dean Fowler)

Change orders are processed by the County Public Works Director and must be approved by the Spokane County Commissioners. The Contractor is notified in writing of approved change orders and adjusts the contract budget per the terms of the change order. Each invoice must still fall within the limits of the adjusted budget. The County Purchasing Department received copies of each approved change order and adjusted price agreements for any increases to project contracts.

4. Allocations: No costs are allocated through this claim. All costs are direct charges to the County, which is the basis of costs for this claim.

5. Summary Schedule: A Scope of Work is presented in Appendix B of the Consent Decree. The Scope of Work provides the authority for each category in the the Summary Schedule. The OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State and Local Governments, provides the authority for the costs listed in the summary Schedule. For example, the professional service costs of Landau Associates is authorized by Attachment B to the circular, paragraph c.7. Professional Services. It states, " costs of professional services rendered by individuals or organizations not a part of the grantee department are allowable subject to such prior authorization as may be required by the Federal grantor agency." Use of contractors to perform professional services for the

County was discussed in the ROD and the SOW.

OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A - Paragraph E. also discusses other direct costs, which include professional costs. This paragraph also applies to the direct employee costs and supplies and other costs incurred by the County directly in managing the Project. The direct nature of these costs is specified by the account coding used by the County to charge these costs to the State Grant. This paragraph states:

" A. General. Direct costs are those those that can be identified specifically with a particular cost objective. These costs may be charged directly to grants, contracts, or to other programs against which costs are finally lodged. Direct costs may also be charged to cost objectives used for the accumulation of costs pending distribution in due course to grants and other ultimate cost objectives.

B. Application. Typical direct costs chargeable to grant programs are: a. Compensation of employees for the time and efforts devoted specifically to the execution of grant programs. b. Cost of materials acquired, consumed, or expended specifically for the purpose of the grant. c. Equipment and other approved capital expenditures. d. Other items of expense incurred specifically to carry out the grant agreement." Other items include professional services by contractors.

6. There are no legal fees in this claim.

7. There are no trust costs in this claim.

8. All insurance settlements have been received. Item II-D of the claim form asks if there is any further action pending in court regarding this site or response actions. The answer is no, there is no further action pending or contemplated by the county at this site.

This concludes the discussion of Phase I, II and IV costs at the Spokane County Colbert Landfill.

ITEM V-B96 TOTAL ATTACHMENT

TOTAL RESPONSE COSTS FOR CONTRACTING AND MISCELLANEOUS COSTS BY EPA CATEG
 TOTAL RESPONSE COSTS INCLUDING CAP COLBERT LANDFILL
 FOR THE PERIOD 2-28-89 THRU 12-31-96

<u>EPA CATEGORY</u>	<u>2-28-89 TO 12-31-95</u>	<u>1-1-96 - TO 12-31-96</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
LABOR	2,217,540	1,790,632	4,008,172
TRAVEL	154,953	0	154,953
EQUIPMENT	462,105	10,334	472,439
MATERIALS/ SUPPLIES	2,292,805	947,135	3,239,940
CONTRACTS	1,794,546	0	1,794,546
OTHER DIRECT	654,551	306,454	961,005
INDIRECT	2,023,121	252,123	2,275,244
FIXED FEES	<u>622,276</u>	<u>11,733</u>	<u>634,009</u>
TOTALS	<u>10,221,897</u>	<u>3,318,411</u>	<u>13,540,308</u>

ITEMVB96.WK3

ITEM V-B96 TOTAL ATTACHMENT
TOTAL RESPONSE COSTS FOR CONTRACTING AND MISCELANEOUS
COSTS BY EPA CATEGORY

TOTAL RESPONSE COSTS INCLUDING CAP COLBERT LANDFILL
FOR THE PERIOD 2-28-89 THRU 12-31-96

EPA CATEGORY	CONTRACTING COSTS	MISCELLANEOUS COSTS	TOTAL
LABOR	3,572,113	436,059	4,008,172
TRAVEL	148,038	6,916	154,954
EQUIPMENT	356,786	115,652	472,438
MATERIALS/ SUPPLIES	3,149,993	89,947	3,239,940
CONTRACTS	1,794,546	0	1,794,546
OTHER DIRECT	339,105	621,900	961,005
INDIRECT	2,168,297	106,947	2,275,244
FIXED FEES	<u>634,009</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>634,009</u>
TOTALS	<u>12,162,887</u>	<u>1,377,421</u>	<u>13,540,308</u>

CONTMISC.WK3

SPOKANE COUNTY COLBERT LANDFILL
CONTRACTOR SUMMARY SHEET
LANDAU ASSOCIATES

CONTRACT NUMBER: SPOKANE COUNTY RESOLUTIONS 88-1278, 89-0266,
93-0609, 92-1129, 95-0290

CONTRACTOR: LANDAU ASSOCIATES, INC.
PO BOX 1029
EDMONDS, WA 98020

KEY PERSONNEL: LAWRENCE BEARD, PROJECT ENGINEER
JOHN MARKUS, SENIOR ENGINEER
GARY ANDRES, SENIOR STAFF HYDROGEOLOGIST

TYPE OF CONTRACT: COST REIMBURSEMENT WITH A FIXED TOTAL LIMIT

BID STRATEGY: RFP

REASONABLE COST METHOD: OPEN AND FREE COMPETITION

WORK TO BE PERFORMED: CLEANUP OF THE COLBERT LANDFILL SITE AS
DESCRIBED IN THE PDD

PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE: 1-1-89 THROUGH 12-31-95

		ACTUAL COSTS
AMOUNT OF CONTRACT:	PHASE I	\$4,550,550.00
	PHASE II	\$4,546,070.45
	PHASE III	1,357,035.00
	PHASE IV	1,337,312.28
	TOTAL	0.00
		225,000.00
		216,194.96
		\$6,099,577.69

PHASE I	RESOLUTION 88-1278	\$4,300,000
	RESOLUTION 89-0266	0.00
	RESOLUTION 93-0609	250,000
	TOTAL PHASE I	\$4,550,000

PHASE II	RESOLUTION 92-1129	\$1,251,035
	AMENDMENT 1	106,000
	TOTAL PHASE II	\$1,357,035

PHASE IV	RESOLUTION 95-0290	\$ 225,000
----------	--------------------	------------

HUMPHREY CONSTRUCTION, INC.
CONTRACTOR SUMMARY SHEET
CONTRACT NUMBER 93-069
SPOKANE COUNTY RESOLUTION 93-0878

CONTRACTOR: HUMPHREY CONSTRUCTION, INC.
PO BOX 907
WOODINVILLE, WA 98072

TYPE OF CONTRACT: COST REIMBURSEMENT WITH A FIXED PRICE LIMIT

BID STRATEGY: RFP

REASONABLE COST METHOD: OPEN AND FREE COMPETITION

WORK TO BE PERFORMED: CONSTRUCT GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION,
TREATMENT AND DISCHARGE SYSTEM AT
COLBERT LANDFILL

PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE: 8-1-93 TO 3-1-95

AMOUNT OF CONTRACT:	\$ 1,829,800.00	SALES TAX AT 8%	\$ 146,384.00
TOTAL CHANGE ORDERS:	<u>157,644.00</u>		<u>12,311.52</u>
TOTAL CONTRACT	\$ 1,987,444.00	+	\$ 158,695.52 =
TOTAL CONTRACT:	\$ 2,146,139.52 ←		

TOTAL COST TO SPOKANE COUNTY: \$ 2,137,351.35

STACO WELL SERVICES, INC.
CONTRACTOR SUMMARY SHEET
COUNTY PROJECT NUMBER 92-065
SPOKANE COUNTY RESOLUTION NO. 92-1289

CONTRACTOR: STACO WELL SERVICES, INC.
220 ACADEMY STREET
MT ANGEL, OR 97362

TYPE OF CONTRACT: COST REIMBURSEMENT WITH A FIXED PRICE LIMIT

BID STRATEGY: RFP

REASONABLE COST METHOD: OPEN AND FREE COMPETITION

WORK TO BE PERFORMED: CONSTRUCT MONITORING WELLS AND
EXTRACTION WELLS AT COLBERT SITE

PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE: 9-1-92 TO 9-30-93

AMOUNT OF CONTRACT (EXCLUDES SALES TAX):	\$ 379,246.25
AMOUNT OF SALES TAX: AT 8%	<u>30,339.70</u>
TOTAL CONTRACT:	\$ 409,585.95
 TOTAL COSTS TO COUNTY:	 \$ 394,514.34

ERSHIGS, INC.
CONTRACTOR SUMMARY SHEET
COUNTY PROJECT NUMBER 93-068
SPOKANE COUNTY RESOLUTION NO. 93-0940

CONTRACTOR: ERSHIGS, INC.
 PO BOX 84485
 SEATTLE, WA 98124

TYPE OF CONTRACT: COST REIMBURSEMENT WITH A FIXED PRICE LIMIT
 PERCENTAGE OF COMPLETION

BID STRATEGY: RFP

REASONABLE COST METHOD: OPEN AND FREE COMPETITION

WORK TO BE PERFORMED: PROVIDE AIR STRIPPING TREATMENT, IE
 FIBERGLASS TOWER

PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE: 9-1-93 TO 9-1-94

AMOUNT OF CONTRACT: \$382,753.00

FINAL COST TO SPOKANE COUNTY: \$374,506.02

CHANGE ORDERS: THERE WERE SEVERAL NO COST CHANGE ORDERS FOR
 THIS CONTRACT

WP - LANDAUSS

DELHUR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONTRACTOR SUMMARY SHEET
CONTRACT NUMBER 96-015
SPOKANE COUNTY RESOLUTION 96-0248

CONTRACTOR: DELHUR INDUSTRIES, INC.
P.O BOX 1166
4333 TURNWATER ACCESS ROAD
PORT ANGELES, WA 98362

TYPE OF CONTRACT: COST REIMBURSEMENT WITH A FIXED PRICE LIMIT

BID STRATEGY: RFP

REASONABLE COST METHOD: OPEN AND FREE COMPETITION

WORK TO BE PERFORMED: CONSTRUCT A COVER SYSTEM FOR THE
COLBERT LANDFILL CLOSURE, APPROXIMATELY
32 ACRES OF LANDFILL AREA

PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE: 3-1-96 TO 11-1-96

AMOUNT OF CONTRACT: \$ 2,871,397

TOTAL COST TO SPOKANE COUNTY \$ 2,727,757

CH2M HILL, INC.
CONTRACTOR SUMMARY SHEET
CONTRACT NUMBER 96-027
SPOKANE COUNTY RESOLUTION 95-0975

CONTRACTOR: CH2M HILL NORTHWEST, INC.
9 S. WASHINGTON SUITE 400
SPOKANE, WA 99204

TYPE OF CONTRACT: COST REIMBURSEMENT WITH A FIXED PRICE LIMIT

BID STRATEGY: RFP

REASONABLE COST METHOD: OPEN AND FREE COMPETITION

WORK TO BE PERFORMED: DESIGN A COVER SYSTEM FOR THE
COLBERT LANDFILL CLOSURE, APPROXIMATELY
32 ACRES OF LANDFILL AREA

PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE: 9-1-95 TO 12-1-96

AMOUNT OF CONTRACT: \$ 377,337

TOTAL COST TO SPOKANE COUNTY \$ 353,249

TAYLOR ENGINEERING, INC.
CONTRACTOR SUMMARY SHEET
CONTRACT NUMBER 96-027
SPOKANE COUNTY RESOLUTION 95-0975

CONTRACTOR: TAYLOR ENGINEERING, INC.
W. 106 MISSION SUITE 206
SPOKANE, WA 99201

TYPE OF CONTRACT: COST REIMBURSEMENT WITH A FIXED PRICE LIMIT

BID STRATEGY: RFP

REASONABLE COST METHOD: OPEN AND FREE COMPETITION

WORK TO BE PERFORMED: HELP DESIGN A COVER SYSTEM FOR THE
COLBERT LANDFILL CLOSURE, AND HELP
MANAGE THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE
COVER

PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE: 9-1-95 TO 12-1-96

AMOUNT OF CONTRACT: \$ 77,075

TOTAL COST TO SPOKANE COUNTY \$ 75,934

ITEM V-B ATTACHMENT

TOTAL RESPONSE COSTS FOR CONTRACTING COSTS BY EPA CATEGORY
FOR PHASE I,II AND IV COLBERT LANDFILL
FOR 2-28-89 THRU 12-31-96

EPA97CON.WK3

CONTRACTORS

EPA CATEGORY	LANDAU PHASE I	LANDAU PHASE II	STACO DRILLING	HUMPHREY CONSTRUCT.	ERSHIGS CONST	LANDAU PHASE IV	DELHUR CONST	CH2MHILL ENGIN	TAYLOR ENGINEERS	TOTAL
LABOR	728,480	368,481	323,637	263,415	29,730	68,278	1,636,649	109,853	42,590	3,571,113
TRAVEL	125,007	20,666	0	0	0	2,365	0	0	0	148,038
EQUIPMENT	92,753	610	1,635	261,788	0	0	0	0	0	356,786
MATERIALS/ SUPPLIES	203,952	45,336	69,242	1,577,804	332,718	2,742	918,197	0	0	3,149,991
CONTRACTS	1,714,770	79,500	0	0	0	276	0	0	0	1,794,546
OTHER DIRECT	77,879	27,316	0	34,344	12,058	1,717	172,910	10,603	2,280	339,107
INDIRECT	1,174,131	630,067	0	0	0	111,976	0	221,059	31,064	2,168,297
FIXED FEES	<u>428,099</u>	<u>165,336</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>28,841</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>11,733</u>	<u>0</u>	634,009
TOTALS	<u>4,545,071</u>	<u>1,337,312</u>	<u>394,514</u>	<u>2,137,351</u>	<u>374,506</u>	<u>216,195</u>	<u>2,727,756</u>	<u>353,248</u>	<u>75,934</u>	<u>12,161,887</u>

ITEM V-B.96 ATTACHMENT

EPACAP96.WK3

TOTAL RESPONSE COSTS FOR CONTRACTING AND MISCELLANEOUS COSTS BY EPA CATEGORY
 FOR CAP AND OTHER COSTS PHASE IV COLBERT LANDFILL
 FOR THE PERIOD 6-1-95 THRU 12-31-98

<u>EPA CATEGORY</u>	<u>DELHUR PHASE IV</u>	<u>CH2M HILL PHASE IV</u>	<u>TAYLOR PHASE IV</u>	<u>MISCELL. 1-96/12-96</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
LABOR	1,636,649	109,853	42,590	1,540	1,790,632
TRAVEL		0	0	0	0
EQUIPMENT		0		10,334	10,334
MATERIALS/ SUPPLIES	918,197	0		28,938	947,135
CONTRACTS		0	0	0	0
OTHER DIRECT	172,910	10,603	2,280	120,661	306,454
INDIRECT		221,059	31,064	0	252,123
FIXED FEES	<u>0</u>	<u>11,733</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>11,733</u>
TOTALS	<u>2,727,756</u>	<u>353,248</u>	<u>75,934</u>	<u>161,473</u>	<u>3,318,411</u>

SPOKANE COUNTY COLBERT LANDFILL - DELHUR CONTRACT FOR CAP
 CONTRACT 96-0248
 FOR THE PERIOD 4-4-96 THRU 12-31-96 TOTAL CONTRACTUAL RESPONSE COSTS

<u>INVOICE NUMBER</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LABOR</u>	<u>MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES</u>	<u>OTHER DIRECT COSTS</u>	<u>TOTAL TO DELHUR</u>
1	4-1/5-2-96	395,446.58	9,800.00	86,300.00	491,546.58
1A	4-1/5-2-96	25,870.87			25,870.87
2	5-3/6-4-96	265,006.35	436,028.91	21,250.00	722,285.26
2A	5-3/6-4-96	38,015.00			38,015.00
3	6-5/7-2-96	519,055.62	265,099.65	43,720.00	827,875.27
3A	6-5/7-2-96	43,572.38			43,572.38
4	7-3/7-31-96	313,874.54	110,324.15	14,140.00	438,338.69
4A	7-3/7-31-96	23,070.46			23,070.46
5	8-1/10-30-96	12,737.50	91,085.40	7,500.00	111,322.90
5A	8-1/10-30-96		<u>5,859.10</u>		<u>5,859.10</u>
TOTALS		<u>1,636,649.30</u>	<u>918,197.21</u>	<u>172,910.00</u>	<u>2,727,756.51</u>

delhur.wk3

SPOKANE COUNTY COLBERT LANDFILL - CH2M HILL
 CONTRACT 95-0975
 FOR THE PERIOD 6-1-95 THRU 12-31-96

CH2MHILL.WK3

INVOICE NUMBER	DATE	LABOR	OTHER DIRECT COSTS	INDIRECT COSTS	FIXED FEES	TOTAL TO CH2M HILL
SLF00003	6-25/8-25-95	12,575.82	270.62	25,277.73	1,697.40	39,821.57
3006764	8-26/9-29-95	18,218.63	549.57	36,621.67	565.80	55,955.67
3013625	9-30/11-24-95	19,741.21	701.38	39,679.85	1,131.60	61,254.04
3019681	11-25/12-29-95	21,111.00	644.91	42,433.16	1,131.60	65,320.67
3022258	12-30/2-2-96	3,437.86	292.30	6,910.13	339.48	10,979.77
3026375	2-3/3-1-96	2,348.92		4,721.33		7,070.25
3026375B	2-3/3-1-96	2,435.03	324.32	4,894.45		7,653.80
3030378	3-2/3-29-96	1,827.08	849.33	3,900.62	226.32	6,803.35
3033839	3-29/4-26-96	2,682.94	857.39	5,409.84	226.32	9,176.49
3038338	4-26/5-31-96	5,216.58	1,232.79	10,485.72	2,036.88	18,971.97
3041409	6-1/6-28-96	3,466.20	1,347.99	6,967.09	1,131.60	12,912.88
3044785	6-29/7-26-96	3,664.92	1,368.57	7,370.46	1,131.60	13,535.55
3051351	7-27/8-30-96	10,516.11	1,432.05	21,137.43	1,267.80	34,353.39
3054764	8-31/9-27-96	1,035.33	202.80	2,081.07	604.80	3,924.00
3057507	9-28/10-25-96	1,575.55	529.45	3,168.16	241.92	5,515.08
TOTALS		109,853.18	10,603.47	221,058.71	11,733.12	353,248.48

SPOKANE COUNTY COLBERT LANDFILL – TAYLOR SUBCONTRACT TO CH2MHILL
 CONTRACT 95-0975 TAYLOR.WK3
 FOR THE PERIOD 6-1-95 THRU 12-31-96

<u>INVOICE NUMBER</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LABOR</u>	<u>OTHER DIRECT COSTS</u>	<u>INDIRECT COSTS</u>	<u>TOTAL TO TAYLOR</u>
1	6-1/9-15-95	5,250.00			5,250.00
2	9-16/10-31-95	11,229.74		577.35	11,807.09
3	11-1/11-30-95	6,565.50			6,565.50
4	12-1/12-31-95	2,188.50			2,188.50
5	1-1/1-31-96	2,188.50			2,188.50
6	2-1/3-31-96	632.04		1,270.40	1,902.44
7	4-1/4-30-96	2,174.07	347.44	4,369.88	6,891.39
8	5-1/5-31-96	3,711.89	590.06	7,460.90	11,762.85
9	6-1/6-30-96	4,285.14	598.06	8,613.13	13,496.33
10	7-1/7-31-96	3,282.17	442.84	6,597.17	10,322.18
11	8-1/8-31-96	569.60	196.65	1,144.90	1,911.15
12	9-1/9-30-96	377.50	90.45	758.78	1,226.73
13	10-1/10-31-96	<u>135.00</u>	<u>14.40</u>	<u>271.35</u>	<u>420.75</u>
TOTALS		<u>42,589.65</u>	<u>2,279.90</u>	<u>31,063.86</u>	<u>75,933.41</u> ✓

ITEM V-B ATTACHMENT

EPA97MIS.WK3

TOTAL RESPONSE COSTS FOR MISCELLANEOUS COSTS BY EPA CATEGORY
FOR PHASE I,II AND IV COLBERT LANDFILL
FOR 2-28-89 THRU 12-31-96

EPA CATEGORY	MISCELL. 2-89/9-94	MISCELL. - 10-94/12-95	MISCELL. 1-96/12-96	TOTAL
LABOR	319,926	114,593	1,540	436,059
TRAVEL	6,215	701	0	6,916
EQUIPMENT	88,271	17,047	10,334	115,652
MATERIALS/ SUPPLIES	23,834	37,175	28,938	89,947
CONTRACTS	0	0	0	0
OTHER DIRECT	295,743	205,496	120,661	621,900
INDIRECT	80,727	26,220	0	106,947
FIXED FEES	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
TOTALS	<u>814,716</u>	<u>401,232</u>	<u>161,473</u>	<u>1,377,421</u>

SPOKANE COUNTY COLBERT LANDFILL - MISCELLANEOUS COSTS
 FOR THE PERIOD 01-1-96 THRU 12-31-96
 MISCELLANEOUS VENDORS NUMBER 3

CO96MISC.WK3

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>LABOR</u>	<u>TRAVEL</u>	<u>EQUIPMENT</u>	<u>MATERIALS & SUPPLIES</u>	<u>OTHER COSTS</u>	<u>INDIRECT COSTS</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
AIR TOXICS LTD	9-24-96	WATER TESTING					682.27		682.27
AT&T WIRELESS SVC	1-4-96	PORTABLE PHONE			176.04				176.04
AZTEC ELECTRIC	3-26-96	INSTAL HEAT TAPE	128.12						128.12
BASALT RR ROCK	6-13-96	LEAS RITEOF WAY					150.00		150.00
B&J IND SUPPLY	9-4-96	SHOP TOOL			135.13				135.13
B&J IND SUPPLY	10-25-96	WRENCHES			149.74				149.74
B&J IND SUPPLY	10-29-96	WRENCHES			119.05				119.05
B&J IND SUPPLY	11-13-96	WRENCHES			108.39				108.39
B&J IND SUPPLY	12-19-96	PIPE, VEST			121.30				121.30
B MEADOWS CO	10-4-96	GAS BAGS				110.65			110.65
TRANE SERVICE	10-8-96	REPAIR A/C	317.20						317.20
COLE PARMER	8-22-96	MULTIMETER			195.49				195.49
COLE PARMER	11-1-96	ADAPTERS				178.66			178.66
COLE PARMER	11-26-96	TESTERS				188.66			188.66
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	1-3-96	WATER TESTING					1,323.00		1,323.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	1-24-96	WATER TESTING					2,565.00		2,565.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	1-24-96	WATER TESTING					3,800.00		3,800.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	2-20-96	WATER TESTING					2,470.00		2,470.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	2-26-96	WATER TESTING					539.00		539.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	3-5-96	WATER TESTING					1,045.00		1,045.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	3-26-96	WATER TESTING					3,895.00		3,895.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	4-9-96	WATER TESTING					1,615.00		1,615.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	4-16-96	WATER TESTING					1,330.00		1,330.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	5-6-96	WATER TESTING					2,470.00		2,470.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	5-10-96	WATER TESTING					1,045.00		1,045.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	5-20-96	WATER TESTING					1,966.20		1,966.20
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	6-21-96	WATER TESTING					1,575.00		1,575.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	7-5-96	WATER TESTING					190.00		190.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	7-10-96	WATER TESTING					852.40		852.40
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	7-23-96	WATER TESTING					2,332.40		2,332.40
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	8-14-96	WATER TESTING					2,660.00		2,660.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	8-16-96	WATER TESTING					475.00		475.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	8-27-96	WATER TESTING					2,795.00		2,795.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	8-30-96	WATER TESTING					339.40		339.40
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	9-19-96	WATER TESTING					2,275.00		2,275.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	10-24-96	WATER TESTING					1,575.00		1,575.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	10-29-96	WATER TESTING					369.60		369.60
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	11-6-96	WATER TESTING					3,895.00		3,895.00
COLUMBIA ANAL SVC	11-22-96	WATER TESTING					2,275.00		2,275.00
COUNTRY HOMES SUP	5-2-96	SUPPLIES				192.15			192.15
COUNTRY HOMES SUP	7-5-96	SUPPLIES				144.46			144.46
COUNTRY HOMES SUP	8-1-96	SUPPLIES				331.65			331.65

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>LABOR</u>	<u>TRAVEL</u>	<u>EQUIPMENT</u>	<u>MATERIALS & & SUPPLIES</u>	<u>OTHER COSTS</u>	<u>INDIRECT COSTS</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
CRC PRESS	1-5-96	BOOK				116.05			116.05
DELHUR	10-11-96	GAS CALIBRATION					275.05		275.05
DIAMOND PRESS	5-7-96	MAILING					142.80		142.80
DIAMOND PRESS	10-8-96	MAILING					175.26		175.26
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS	5-1-96	CABLE & COATING				100.93			100.93
ENVIRONMENT WEST	4-19-96	DECOMISS WELLS					2,000.00		2,000.00
FEDERAL EXPRESS	2-26-96	MAIL SAMPLES					411.05		411.05
FEDERAL EXPRESS	5-28-96	MAIL SAMPLES					118.05		118.05
GLOBE-CHEM CO	2-2-96	CHEMICALS				3,194.03			3,194.03
GLOBE-CHEM CO	3-19-96	CHEMICALS				3,194.03			3,194.03
GLOBE-CHEM CO	5-21-96	CHEMICALS				3,194.03			3,194.03
GLOBE-CHEM CO	5-21-96	CHEMICALS				3,194.03			3,194.03
GLOBE-CHEM CO	8-22-96	CHEMICALS				6,388.06			6,388.06
GLOBE-CHEM CO	11-22-96	CHEMICALS				6,388.06			6,388.06
HARRINGTON PLASTICS	10-21-96	VALVES				116.54			116.54
LAB SAFETY SUPPLY	2-12-96	KIMWIPES				126.40			126.40
LAB SAFETY SUPPLY	6-20-96	GLOVES				385.23			385.23
LANDFILL TECH	8-29-96	COUPLINGS			125.25				125.25
LAUCKS LABS	1-3-96	WATER TESTS					1,980.00		1,980.00
LAUCKS LABS	2-5-96	WATER TESTS					1,080.00		1,080.00
LAUCKS LABS	3-5-96	WATER TESTS					540.00		540.00
LAUCKS LABS	3-19-96	WATER TESTS					3,060.00		3,060.00
LAUCKS LABS	4-8-96	WATER TESTS					2,340.00		2,340.00
MEC ANALYTIC SYST	3-8-96	WATER TESTS					1,200.00		1,200.00
MAIL BOXES ETC	2-20-96	POSTAGE					114.76		114.76
NORDS ELECT SUPPLY	12-2-96	SUPPLIES				357.18			357.18
N STAR CLEANING	6-20-96	CLEAN PLANT	1,094.55						1,094.55
COUNTY PRINTING	7-30-96	PRINTING					106.22		106.22
NY BLOWER CO	7-24-96	V BELT DRIVE			152.47				152.47
SAFETY & SUPPLY	8-29-96	KIT, TUBES				791.42			791.42
SLOPE INDICATOR	1-29-96	PROBE ASSEMBLY			563.02				563.02
SLOPE INDICATOR	6-12-96	PROBE ASSEMBLY			199.81				199.81
SPOK POWER TOOL	1-29-96	AIR COMPRESSOR			1,023.38				1,023.38
SPOK POWER TOOL	5-1-96	GENERATOR			1,524.21				1,524.21
SPOKESMAN REVIEW	2-26-96	CONTRACT AD					606.22		606.22
SURE FIT SEAT COVER	9-20-96	PORTAL COVERS				103.78			103.78
TRANSFAC FUNDING	3-28-96	MAIL SAMPLES					105.43		105.43
TRANSFAC FUNDING	9-19-96	MAIL SAMPLES					107.55		107.55
US MICRO EXPRESS	7-5-96	COMPUTER			3,056.65				3,056.65
US WEST	1-16-96	PHONE					150.14		150.14
US WEST	2-20-96	PHONE					153.52		153.52
US WEST	4-10-96	PHONE					152.65		152.65
US WEST	5-20-96	PHONE					158.62		158.62
US WEST	6-12-96	PHONE					154.14		154.14
US WEST	7-15-96	PHONE					150.74		150.74

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>LABOR</u>	<u>TRAVEL</u>	<u>EQUIPMENT</u>	<u>MATERIALS & & SUPPLIES</u>	<u>OTHER COSTS</u>	<u>INDIRECT COSTS</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
US WEST	8-14-96	PHONE					154.30		154.30
US WEST	9-18-96	PHONE					147.05		147.05
US WEST	10-11-96	PHONE					149.12		149.12
US WEST	11-20-96	PHONE					151.76		151.76
US WEST	12-10-96	PHONE					148.72		148.72
VALLEY BEST BLDG	5-6-96	DIRT DEVIL			112.89				112.89
VALLEY HERALD	2-20-96	CONTRACT AD					106.20		106.20
WHATMAN LABS	3-28-96	BUFFERS				142.50			142.50
WASH WATER POWER	1-18-96	ELECTRICITY					5,207.51		5,207.51
WASH WATER POWER	2-20-96	ELECTRICITY					4,557.77		4,557.77
WASH WATER POWER	3-19-96	ELECTRICITY					5,005.86		5,005.86
WASH WATER POWER	4-16-96	ELECTRICITY					5,187.12		5,187.12
WASH WATER POWER	5-28-96	ELECTRICITY					4,984.18		4,984.18
WASH WATER POWER	6-25-96	ELECTRICITY					5,293.31		5,293.31
WASH WATER POWER	7-18-96	ELECTRICITY					5,169.23		5,169.23
WASH WATER POWER	8-16-96	ELECTRICITY					4,436.14		4,436.14
WASH WATER POWER	10-18-96	ELECTRICITY					1,605.60		1,605.60
WASH WATER POWER	10-25-96	ELECTRICITY					3,570.61		3,570.61
WASH WATER POWER	11-5-96	ELECTRICITY					455.94		455.94
WASH WATER POWER	11-6-96	ELECTRICITY					2,966.26		2,966.26
WASH WATER POWER	11-14-96	ELECTRICITY					5,367.13		5,367.13
WASH WATER POWER	12-16-96	ELECTRICITY					646.46		646.46
WASH WATER POWER	12-19-96	ELECTRICITY					3,563.92		3,563.92
WOOD TRUSS BLDGS	7-16-96	GARAGE	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>2,571.20</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>2,571.20</u>
TOTALS MISC 3			<u>1,539.87</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>10,334.02</u>	<u>28,938.50</u>	<u>120,660.66</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>161,473.05</u>